

Reparametrization Invariance of Path Integrals

H. Kleinert* and A. Chervyakov†
 Freie Universität Berlin
 Institut für Theoretische Physik
 Arnimallee14, D-14195 Berlin

We demonstrate the reparametrization invariance of perturbatively defined one-dimensional functional integrals up to the three-loop level for a path integral of a quantum-mechanical point particle in a box. We exhibit the origin of the failure of earlier authors to establish reparametrization invariance which led them to introduce, superfluously, a compensating potential depending on the connection of the coordinate system. We show that problems with invariance are absent by defining path integrals as the $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ -limit of $1 + \epsilon$ -dimensional functional integrals.

1. The long-standing problem of the reparametrization invariance of perturbatively defined one-dimensional functional integrals, called path integrals, has recently become an important issue in the context of worldline formulations of quantum field theory [1–4]. This problem was apparently first encountered in two-loop perturbative calculations in Ref. [5], and a solution was attempted once more in Ref. [6]. The latter authors exhibited in great detail the change of results obtained after subjecting a path integral to a coordinate transformation. The results could only be forced to agree by adding to the transformed action an artificial potential term, of the order $\hbar^2$, depending on the connection of the coordinate transformation.

Such a noninvariance under coordinate transformations, if unavoidable, would be extremely unpleasant for two reasons: First, the functional integral of the much more complicated quantum gravity is known to be invariant under coordinate transformations if the infinities are regularized a la t' Hooft and Veltman [7] in $4 - \epsilon$ dimensions, and it would be surprising to see a failure in simple quantum mechanics. Second, a similar initially encountered problem in the time-sliced definition of path integrals has been solved elegantly in the textbook (11), by defining it as the image of a euclidean path integral (in curved space via a nonholonomic coordinate transformations). It would be embarrassing if a perturbative definition on a continuous time axis which is standard in quantum field theory would fail to match the invariance of the time-sliced definition.

An brief inspection of the problems encountered in Refs. [5,6] reveals immediately the central unsatisfactory feature of their treatment. The perturbation expansion of the transformed path integral leads to Feynman integrals involving space-dependent kinetic terms whose result depends on the order of evaluation of the individual one-dimensional momentum integrals. As a typical example, take the Feynman integral

$$Y = \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{dp_1}{2\pi} \frac{dp_2}{2\pi} \frac{k^2 (p_1 p_2)}{(k^2 + m^2)(p_1^2 + m^2)(p_2^2 + m^2)[(k + p_1 + p_2)^2 + m^2]}.$$

Integrating this first over k , then over p_1 and p_2 yields $3/64m$. In the order first p_1 , then p_2 and k , we find $-1/64m$. As we shall see below, the correct result is the average of the two, $1/32m$.

The purpose of this note is to exhibit the origin of this ambiguity and to remove it by a proper definition of the path integral as the analytic continuation of a corresponding functional integral in D spacetime dimensions to $D = 1$. This leads to a unique result exhibiting the desired reparametrization invariance. For the above example, the correct result turns out to be the arithmetic-average of the two answers, i.e., $Y = 1/32m$ [to appear in the first term of the decomposition of the Feynman integral in Eq. (40)]. The ambiguity will be removed by a definite contraction of the momentum vectors in the numerator. A typical example characterizing the resulting uniqueness that the integral

$$Y_0 = \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{dp_1}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{dp_2}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{k^2 (p_1 p_2) - (kp_1)(kp_2)}{(k^2 + m^2)(p_1^2 + m^2)(p_2^2 + m^2)[(k + p_1 + p_2)^2 + m^2]}.$$

whose numerator vanished trivially in $D = 1$ dimensions. Because of the different contractions in D dimensions, however, Y_0 has a finite value $Y_0 = 1/32m - (-1/32m)$ in the limit $D \rightarrow 1$, the result being split according to the two terms in the numerator [to appear in the Feynman integrals (39) and (40)].

*E-mail: kleinert@physik.fu-berlin.de

†E-mail: chervyak@physik.fu-berlin.de. On leave from LCTA, JINR, Dubna, Russia. Supported by DFG under grant Kl 256.

To be specific, we consider a simple quantum-mechanical system, a point particle in a one-dimensional box of size d . The ground-state energy of this system is exactly known, $E^{(0)} = \pi^2/2d^2$, and a method has been developed to recover this value perturbatively [8], where a three-loop calculation yields the expansion

$$E^{(0)} = \frac{m}{2} + \frac{g}{4} + \frac{1}{16} \frac{g^2}{m} + \mathcal{O}(g^3). \quad (1)$$

Here g is a coupling constant which is equal to π^2/d^2 , and m an infrared regulator to be taken to zero at the end. This regulator comes about by replacing the confining box by a smooth convex potential which grows to infinity near the walls, and replacing the free-particle euclidean action, the field energy, as follows:

$$E_0[u] = \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dx \dot{u}^2(x) \rightarrow E[u] = \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dx \{ \dot{u}^2(x) + m^2 g^{-1} \tan^2 [\sqrt{g}u(x)] \}, \quad (2)$$

where L is the total euclidean time interval. Being interested only in the ground state energy, this may be assumed to be very large, and the limits of integration will be omitted from now on. For $m \rightarrow 0$, the initial box is recovered. The $d \rightarrow \infty$, the particle is completely free ($g = 0$). At finite d , the potential is expanded in powers of g , and the connected Feynman vacuum diagrams up to three loops produce the perturbation expansion (1).

The evaluation of the series (1) requires a conversion from the weak- to a strong-coupling expansion, for which the techniques are now well developed [9] and described for this model in [8].

We shall now perform a coordinate transformation on this action and show that the ensuing perturbation expansion leads to the same expansion of the energy (1). As announced in the beginning, a unique path integral will be obtained only if it is defined as a $D \rightarrow 1$ -limit of a D -dimensional system. The initial action will therefore be extended accordingly, replacing $\int dx$ by $\int d^D x$, and the kinetic term $\dot{u}^2(x)$ by $[\partial_\mu u(x)]^2$.

2. The coordinate transformation to be applied to (2) is

$$u(x) \rightarrow \varphi(x) \equiv \sqrt{g}^{-1} \tan [\sqrt{g}u(x)]. \quad (3)$$

The partition function of the transformed action in D dimensions is given by the euclidean path integral (in natural units)

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}u(x) e^{-\int d^D x E_J[\varphi]} e^{-\int d^D x E[\varphi]}, \quad (4)$$

with the transformed field energy

$$E[\varphi] = \frac{1}{2} \int d^D x \{ [\partial_\mu u(\varphi(x))]^2 + m^2 \varphi^2(x) \}, \quad (5)$$

and an effective field energy $E_J[\varphi]$ coming from the Jacobian of the coordinate transformation:

$$E_J[\varphi] = -\delta^{(D)}(0) \int d^D x \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial \varphi(x)}, \quad (6)$$

where $\delta^{(D)}(0) \equiv \int d^D p / (2\pi)^D$ is the inverse lattice volume associated with each points in spacetime.

Inserting (3) into (5), and expanding everything in powers of g , the transformed energy becomes

$$E[\varphi] = E_m[\varphi] + E_{\text{int}}[\varphi], \quad (7)$$

with a harmonic part

$$E_m[\varphi] = \frac{1}{2} \int d^D x \{ [\partial_\mu \varphi(x)]^2 + m^2 \varphi^2(x) \}, \quad (8)$$

and an interacting part

$$E_{\text{int}}[\varphi] = \frac{1}{2} \int d^D x [\partial_\mu \varphi(x)]^2 (-2g\varphi^2 + 3g^2\varphi^4 - 4g^3\varphi^6 + \dots). \quad (9)$$

In the limit of large spacetime volume L^D , the harmonic part of the partition function gives an expression which can immediatly be taken to $D = 1$ dimensions:

$$Z_m = e^{-\text{Tr} \log(\partial^2 + m^2)} = \text{const.} \times e^{-Lm/2}, \quad (10)$$

contributing the zeroth-order term $m/2$ to the expansion (1). This is the exact result for the partition function (4) in the limit $d \rightarrow \infty$, where the walls are absent.

For a finite distance d , we perform a perturbation expansion in powers of g around this Z_m using Feynman diagrams. By discarding all disconnected diagrams, the expansion applied directly to ground state energy. Since the interaction terms in (9) have various forms, there exists a variety of Feynman diagrams. There are three types of different two-point functions,

$$\Delta(x, x') = \langle \varphi(x) \varphi(x') \rangle = \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{e^{ik(x-x')}}{k^2 + m^2} = \Delta(x, x'), \quad (11)$$

and its derivatives

$$\Delta_\mu(x, x') = \langle \partial_\mu \varphi(x) \varphi(x') \rangle = \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{ik^\mu}{k^2 + m^2} e^{ik(x-x')}, \quad (12)$$

$$\Delta_{\mu\nu}(x, x') = \langle \partial_\mu \varphi(x) \partial_\nu \varphi(x') \rangle = \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{k^\mu k^\nu}{k^2 + m^2} e^{ik(x-x')}, \quad (13)$$

which are represented by three types of lines, solid, dashed, and mixed:

$$\text{---} = \frac{1}{p^2 + m^2}, \quad \text{-----} = \frac{p^\mu p^\nu}{p^2 + m^2}, \quad \text{---} = i \frac{p^\mu}{p^2 + m^2} \quad (14)$$

The infinitely many interaction vertices are pictures as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{---} \times \text{---} = g, & \text{---} \times \text{---} \times \text{---} = g^2, & \text{---} \times \text{---} \times \text{---} \times \text{---} = g^3, \quad \dots \end{array} \quad (15)$$

When calculating Feynman integrals in momentum space of arbitrary dimensions D , the quantity $\delta^{(D)}(0) = \int d^D k / (2\pi)^D$ in the Jacobian energy (6) vanishes and can be dropped from the exponent in (4). Thus we find, up to the three-loop level, the following graphical expansion for the free energy density

$$f = -\frac{1}{2} \text{---} \text{---} - g \text{---} \text{---} + \frac{9}{2} g^2 \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} - \frac{g^2}{2!} \left[4 \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} + 2 \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} + 2 \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} + 4 \text{---} \text{---} + 16 \text{---} \text{---} + 4 \text{---} \text{---} \right]. \quad (16)$$

At the two-loop level, there exists only one diagram representing the single Wick contraction $\langle \varphi \varphi \rangle \langle \partial \varphi \partial \varphi \rangle$ of the local expectation value $\langle \varphi^2 (\partial \varphi)^2 \rangle$. At the three-loop level, the diagram  pictures one of the three equal nonzero Wick contractions $\langle \varphi \varphi \rangle \langle \varphi \varphi \rangle \langle \partial \varphi \partial \varphi \rangle$ of the local expectation value $\langle \varphi^4 (\partial \varphi)^2 \rangle$. The remaining three-loop diagrams are either of the three-bubble type, or of the watermelon type, each with all possible combinations of the three line types. Since the local expectation value $\langle \partial \varphi \varphi \rangle$ vanishes according to Eq. (12), several three-bubble diagrams do not contribute. Only those which do are shown in Eq. (16).

3. All diagrams of Eq. (16) converge in momentum space, thus requiring no regularization to make them finite, as we would expect for a quantum mechanical system. Trouble arises, however, in some multiple momentum integrals, since they yield different results depending on the order of their evaluation. The ambiguity discussed in the beginning occurs in the diagram is . This and related diagrams require a calculation in D dimensions, and going to the limit $D \rightarrow 1$.

The diagrams which need a careful treatment are easily recognized in configuration space, where the one-dimensional propagator (11) is the continuous function. $\Delta(x, x') = (1/2m)e^{-m|x-x'|}$. Its first derivative has a jump at equal arguments and is a distribution which is unproblematic as long as the remaining integrand does not contain δ -functions or their derivatives. These appear with second derivatives of $\Delta(x, x')$, where the D -dimensional evaluation must be invoked to obtain a univue result.

The loop integrals encountered in D dimensions are based on the basic one-loop integral

$$I \equiv \int \frac{d^D k}{k^2 + m^2} = \frac{(m^2)^{D/2-1}}{(4\pi)^{D/2}} \Gamma(1 - D/2) \underset{D \rightarrow 1}{=} \frac{1}{2m}, \quad (17)$$

where we have set $\bar{d}^D k \equiv d^D k / (2\pi)^D$, for brevity. For $D = 1$, I is equal to $1/2m$. By differentiation with respect to m^2 we can easily generalize (17) to

$$I_\alpha^\beta \equiv \int \frac{\bar{d}^D k (k^2)^\beta}{(k^2 + m^2)^\alpha} = \frac{(m^2)^{D/2+\beta-\alpha}}{(4\pi)^{D/2}} \frac{\Gamma(D/2 + \beta) \Gamma(\alpha - \beta - D/2)}{\Gamma(D/2) \Gamma(\alpha)}. \quad (18)$$

Note that $I_0^\beta = \int \bar{d}^D (k^2)^\beta = 0$. With the help of Eqs. (17) and (18) we calculate immediately the local expectation values (11) and (13) and thus the local diagrams in (16):

$$\text{Bubble} = \langle \varphi^2 \rangle \langle \partial \varphi \partial \varphi \rangle = \int \frac{\bar{d}^D q}{q^2 + m^2} \int \frac{\bar{d}^D p p^2}{p^2 + m^2} \stackrel{D \rightarrow 1}{=} -\frac{1}{4}, \quad \text{Watermelon} = \langle \varphi \varphi \rangle^2 \langle \partial \varphi \partial \varphi \rangle = \left(\int \frac{\bar{d}^D q}{q^2 + m^2} \right)^2 \int \frac{\bar{d}^D p p^2}{p^2 + m^2} \stackrel{D \rightarrow 1}{=} -\frac{1}{8m}. \quad (19)$$

The three-bubble diagrams in Eq. (16) can also be easily computed. If the external bubbles are identical, we obtain

$$\text{Three-bubble} = \int d^D 1 \Delta(1, 1) \Delta_{\mu\nu}^2(1, 2) \Delta(2, 2) = \left(\int \frac{\bar{d}^D q}{q^2 + m^2} \right)^2 \int \frac{\bar{d}^D p (p^2)^2}{(p^2 + m^2)^2} \stackrel{D \rightarrow 1}{=} -\frac{3}{16m}, \quad (20)$$

$$\text{Three-bubble} = \int d^D 1 \Delta_{\mu\mu}(1, 1) \Delta^2(1, 2) \Delta_{\nu\nu}(2, 2) = \left(\int \frac{\bar{d}^D q q^2}{q^2 + m^2} \right)^2 \int \frac{\bar{d}^D k}{(k^2 + m^2)^2} \stackrel{D \rightarrow 1}{=} \frac{1}{16m}, \quad (21)$$

$$\text{Three-bubble} = \int d^D 1 \Delta(1, 1) \Delta_\mu^2(1, 2) \Delta_{\nu\nu}(2, 2) = \int \frac{\bar{d}^D k}{k^2 + m^2} \int \frac{\bar{d}^D p p^2}{(p^2 + m^2)^2} \int \frac{\bar{d}^D q q^2}{q^2 + m^2} \stackrel{D \rightarrow 1}{=} -\frac{1}{16m}. \quad (22)$$

We now turn to the watermelon-like diagrams which are more tedious to compute. They require a further basic integral [10]

$$\begin{aligned} J(p) &= \int \frac{\bar{d}^D k}{(k^2 + m^2)[(k + p)^2 + m^2]} = \int_0^1 dx \int \frac{\bar{d}^D k}{[k^2 + p^2 x(1 - x) + m^2]^2} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(2 - D/2)}{(4\pi)^{D/2}} \left(\frac{p^2 + 4m^2}{4} \right)^{D/2-2} {}_2F_1 \left(2 - \frac{D}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{3}{2}; \frac{p^2}{p^2 + 4m^2} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where ${}_2F_1$ is the hypergeometric function. For $D = 1$, the right-hand side is simply $1/[m(p^2 + 4m^2)]$. Differentiating $J(p)$ repeatedly with respect to p_μ , we obtain the generalizations of $J(p)$:

$$J^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} = \int \frac{\bar{d}^D k \, k^{\mu_1} \dots k^{\mu_n}}{(k^2 + m^2)[(k + p)^2 + m^2]}, \quad (24)$$

of which we need the special cases

$$J^\mu(p) = \int \frac{\bar{d}^D k \, k^\mu}{(k^2 + m^2)[(k + p)^2 + m^2][(k + p)^2 + m^2]} = -\frac{1}{2} p^\mu J(p), \quad (25)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} J^{\mu\nu}(p) &= \int \frac{\bar{d}^D k \, k^\mu k^\nu}{(k^2 + m^2)[(k + p)^2 + m^2]} = \left[\delta^{\mu\nu} + (D - 2) \frac{p^\mu p^\nu}{p^2} \right] \frac{I}{2(D - 1)} \\ &\quad + \left[-\delta^{\mu\nu} (p^2 + 4m^2) + \frac{p^\mu p^\nu}{p^2} (D p^2 + 4m^2) \right] \frac{J(p)}{4(D - 1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

whose trace is

$$J_\mu{}^\mu(p) = \int \frac{\bar{d}^D k \, k^2}{(k^2 + m^2)[(k + p)^2 + m^2]} = I - m^2 J(p). \quad (27)$$

We also encounter an integral

$$\begin{aligned} J_\mu{}^{\mu\nu}(p) &= \int \frac{\bar{d}^D k \, k^2 k^\nu}{(k^2 + m^2)[(k + p)^2 + m^2]} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} p^\nu [-I + m^2 J(p)]. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Various two- and three-loop integrals needed for the calculation can be brought to the generic form

$$K(a, b) = \int d^D p (p^2)^a J^b(p), \quad a \geq 0, \quad b \geq 1, \quad a \leq b, \quad (29)$$

and evaluated recursively as follows [11]: From the Feynman parametrization of the first line of Eq. (23) we observe that the two basic integrals (17) and (23) satisfy the differential equation

$$J(p) = -\frac{\partial I}{\partial m^2} + \frac{1}{2} p^2 \frac{\partial J(p)}{\partial m^2} - 2p^2 \frac{\partial J(p)}{\partial p^2}. \quad (30)$$

Differentiating $K(a+1, b)$ from Eq. (29) with respect to m^2 , and using Eq. (30), we find the recursion relation

$$K(a, b) = \frac{2b(D/2 - 1) I K(a-1, b-1) - 2m^2(2a-2-b+D)K(a-1, b)}{(b+1)D/2 - 2b+a}, \quad (31)$$

which may be solved for increasing a starting with

$$\begin{aligned} K(0, 0) &= 0, \quad K(0, 1) = \int d^D p J(p) = I^2, \\ K(0, 2) &= \int d^D p J^2(p) = A, \quad \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where A is the integral

$$A = \int \frac{d^D p_1 d^D p_2 d^D k}{(p_1^2 + m^2)(p_2^2 + m^2)(k^2 + m^2)[(p_1 + p_2 + k)^2 + m^2]} = \frac{1}{32m^5} + \mathcal{O}(D-1). \quad (33)$$

The one-dimensional limit on the right-hand side follows directly from the configuration space version of this integral

$$A = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \Delta^4(x, 0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left(\frac{1}{2m} e^{-m|x|} \right)^4 = \frac{1}{32m^5}. \quad (34)$$

With the help of Eqs. (31) and (32), we find further integrals appearing in three-loop diagrams:

$$\int d^D p p^2 J(p) = K(1, 1) = -2m^2 I^2, \quad (35)$$

$$\int d^D p p^2 J^2(p) = K(1, 2) = \frac{4}{3}(I^3 - m^2 A), \quad (36)$$

$$\int d^D p (p^2)^2 J^2(p) = K(2, 2) = -8m^2 \frac{(6-5D)I^3 + 2Dm^2 A}{3(4-3D)}. \quad (37)$$

We are now ready to calculate all the three-loop contributions from the watermelon-like diagrams in Eq. (16). The first without mixed lines yields

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Diagram} &= \int d^D 1 \Delta^2(1, 2) \Delta_{\mu\nu}^2(1, 2) = \int d^D p d^D k d^D q \frac{(pk)^2}{(p^2 + m^2)(k^2 + m^2)(q^2 + m^2)[(p+k+q)^2 + m^2]} \\ &\stackrel{q \rightarrow q-p}{=} \int d^D q J_{\mu\nu}(q) J^{\mu\nu}(q) = \int d^D k \frac{1}{16} (k^2)^2 J^2(k) \\ &\quad + \int d^D k \frac{1}{4(D-1)} \left\{ DI^2 + [(D-2)k^2 - 4m^2] I J(k) + \frac{1}{4} (k^2 + 4m^2)^2 J^2(k) \right\} \\ &= -\frac{m^2}{2} \frac{(6-5D)I^3 + 2Dm^2 A}{3(4-3D)} - \frac{m^2}{6(4-3D)} [(6-5D)I^3 + 2Dm^2 A] \\ &= -\frac{m^2}{3(4-3D)} [(8-7D)I^3 + (D+4)m^2 A] \stackrel{D \rightarrow 4}{=} -\frac{m^2}{3} (I^3 + 5m^2 A) = -\frac{3}{32m}. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

The diagram with two mixed lines gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\textcircled{---} &= -\int d^D 1 \Delta(1, 2) \Delta_\mu(1, 2) \Delta_\nu(1, 2) \Delta_{\mu\nu}(1, 2) = \int d^D k d^D p_1 d^D p_2 \frac{(kp_1)(kp_2)}{(k^2+m^2)(p_1^2+m^2)(p_2^2+m^2)[(k+p_1+p_2)^2+m^2]} \\
&\stackrel{p_2 \rightarrow p_2-k}{=} \int d^D p [p_\nu J_\mu(p) J^{\mu\nu}(p) + J_\mu(p) J_\nu^{\mu\nu}(p)] = -\frac{1}{8} \int d^D p p^2 J(p) [(p^2+2m^2)J(p) - 2I] \\
&= -\frac{m^2}{6(4-3D)} [(8-5D)I^3 - 2(4-D)m^2 A] \stackrel{D \rightarrow 1}{=} -\frac{m^2}{2}(I^3 - 2m^2 A) = -\frac{1}{32m}.
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Finally, the diagram with four mixed lines is calculated by reduction of the integral to the previous one:

$$\begin{aligned}
\textcircled{---} &= \int d^D 1 \Delta_\mu(1, 2) \Delta_\mu(1, 2) \Delta_\nu(1, 2) \Delta_\nu(1, 2) = -\int d^D k d^D p_1 d^D p_2 \frac{[k(k+p_1+p_2)](p_1 p_2)}{(k^2+m^2)(p_1^2+m^2)(p_2^2+m^2)[(k+p_1+p_2)^2+m^2]} \\
&= -\int d^D k d^D p_1 d^D p_2 \frac{k^2 (p_1 p_2)}{(k^2+m^2)(p_1^2+m^2)(p_2^2+m^2)[(k+p_1+p_2)^2+m^2]} \\
&\quad -2 \int d^D k d^D p_1 d^D p_2 \frac{(p_1 p_2)(kp_1)}{(k^2+m^2)(p_1^2+m^2)(p_2^2+m^2)[(k+p_1+p_2)^2+m^2]} \\
&= -\frac{m^2}{3}(I^3 - m^2 A) + \frac{m^2}{3(4-3D)} [(8-5D)I^3 - 2(4-D)m^2 A] \\
&\quad + \frac{m^2}{3(4-3D)} [(4-2D)I^3 - (4+D)m^2 A] \stackrel{D \rightarrow 1}{=} \frac{m^2}{3}(2I^3 - 5m^2 A) = \frac{1}{32m}.
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Note that since the configuration space integral of this diagram contains no δ -functions, it could have been calculated immediately in one dimension where $\Delta_\mu(x, x')$ becomes $-(1/2)\Theta(x-x')e^{-m|x|}$, so that the integral over $d^D 1$ reduces to $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx [(1/2)e^{-m|x|}]^4 = 1/32m$.

4. Summing up all contributions of the various diagrams, we find for the free energy density up to three loops the perturbation expansion

$$f = \frac{m}{2} + \left(\frac{g}{4} - \frac{9}{16} \frac{g^2}{m} \right) - \frac{1}{2!} \left(-\frac{10}{8} \right) \frac{g^2}{m} = \frac{m}{2} + \frac{g}{4} + \frac{1}{16} \frac{g^2}{m}. \tag{41}$$

This is identical with the previous result (1), thus confirming the invariance of the perturbatively defined path integral under coordinate transformations, so that there is no need for an artificial potential term of the order $\hbar^2$ called for by previous authors.

Acknowledgement:

We are grateful to Dr. A. Pelster for useful contributions in the initial stages of this investigation, and to M. Bachmann for useful discussions.

- [1] Z. Bern, D.A. Kosower, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 1669 (1991); Nucl. Phys. B **379**, 451 (1992).
- [2] M.J. Strassler, Nucl. Phys. B **385**, 145 (1992).
- [3] M.G. Schmidt, C. Schubert, Phys. Lett. B **318**, 438 (1993).
- [4] Note that the world-line formulation of field theory is the inverse of the disorder field theory for line-like excitations developed in the textbook:
H. Kleinert, *Gauge Fields in Condensed Matter*, Vol. I *Superflow and Vortex Lines*, pp. 1–744; Vol. II *Stresses and Defects*, World Scientific, Singapore 1989, pp. 744–1443.
- [5] J.L. Gervais, A. Jevicki, Nucl. Phys. B **110**, 93 (1976).
- [6] J. de Boer, B. Peeters, K. Skenderis and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Nucl. Phys. B **459**, 631 (1996).
- [7] G.'t Hooft and M. Veltman, Nucl. Phys. B **44**, 189 (1972).
- [8] H. Kleinert, A. Chervyakov and B. Hamprecht, *Variational Perturbation Expansion for Particle in a Box*, Berlin preprint, 1999 (cond-mat/9906241). See also the related discussion
H. Kleinert, *Pressure of Membrane between Walls* FU-Berlin preprint 1998 (cond-mat/9811308)
- [9] H. Kleinert, *Path Integrals in Quantum Mechanics, Statistics and Polymer Physics*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1995.

- [10] J.A. Gracey, Nucl. Phys. B **341**, 403 (1990); Nucl. Phys. B **367**, 657 (1991).
- [11] N.D. Tracas and N.D. Vlachos, Phys. Lett. B **257**, 140 (1991).